

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/423,126	BUCHTER-LARSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Russell Kallis	1638	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Russell Kallis. (3) Thomas Kowalski.
 (2) Amy Nelson. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9, 21 and 26-39.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

- i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Russell Kallis
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney of record Thomas Kowalski intends to provide evidence of other glucan lyase sequences available at the time of filing of application 09/423,126. The attorney of record also intends to submit, in a 1.132 Declaration, data to support the applicants claim for increased efficiency of transformation and the production of anhydrofructose in transformed grape. The attorney of record also intends to change the term homology to identity in claims 29-31, 33-35, and 37-39.